PORCINE REPRODUCTIVE AND RESPIRATORY SYNDROME VACCINE, BASED ON ISOLATE JA-142

RELATED APPLICATION

5   This is a continuation of application S/N 09/981,282 filed October 18, 2001 which is a continuation-in-part of application S/N 09/461,879 filed December 15, 1999 which is a continuation-in-part of application S/N 09/298,110 filed April 22, 1999.

SEQUENCE DISCLOSURE

10   A Sequence Listing in the form of a computer readable ASCII file in connection with the present invention was filed in application Serial No. 09/981,282. This earlier filed CRF is incorporated herein by reference and applicant requests that this previously filed CRF be used as the CRF for this application. A paper copy of this sequence is included herein and is identical to this previously-filed CRF.

15

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

The present invention is broadly concerned with attenuated avirulent atypical porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus (PRRSV), and
20   corresponding live virus vaccines for administration to swine in order to confer effective immunity in the swine against PRRSV. The invention also includes methods of immunizing swine against PRRSV, and a new, highly efficient method of passaging viruses to attenuation. Furthermore, the invention provides methods of detecting and differentiating between field strains and an attenuated strain of PRRSV.

25

Description of the Prior Art

PRRS emerged in the late 1980's as an important viral disease of swine. PRRSV causes severe reproductive failure in pregnant sows, manifested in the form of premature farrowings, increased numbers of stillborn, mummified and weak-born pigs,
30   decreased farrowing rate, and delayed return to estrus. Additionally, the respiratory system of swine infected with PRRSV is adversely affected, which is evidenced by

lesions that appear in the lungs of infected swine. To combat the problems associated with PRRSV infection, vaccines have been developed which conferred immunity to then extant PRRSV strains.

Epidemics of an unusually severe form of PRRS, referred to hereafter as "atypical PRRS", were first recognized in North America in the latter part of 1996. They differed from epidemics of "typical PRRS" in that: 1) clinical signs were more prolonged as well as more severe; 2) the incidence of abortion was greater, especially during early and middle gestation; 3) there was a higher incidence of gilt and sow mortality; 4) PRRSV was less often isolated from aborted fetuses, stillborn pigs, and liveborn pigs -- perhaps because abortions were more often the result of acute maternal illness rather than transplacental infection; 5) lung lesions of young affected pigs were more extensive; and 6) commercially available vaccines provided little or no protection. Collectively these observation indicated the emergence of more virulent and antigenically distinct strains of PRRSV and the need for a new generation of PRRS vaccines.

The most frequently used method for producing attenuated, live-virus vaccine is to serially passage the virus in a substrate (usually cell culture) other than the natural host (S) until it becomes sufficiently attenuated (i.e., reduced in virulence or diseases-producing ability) to be used as a vaccine. For the first passage, a cell culture is infected with the selected inoculum. After obtaining clear evidence of virus replication (e.g., virus-induced cytopathic effects [CPE] in the infected cells), an aliquot of the cell culture medium, or infected cells, or both, of the first passage are used to infect a second cell culture. The process is repeated until one or more critical mutations in the viral genome cause sufficient attenuation so that the virus can be safely used as a vaccine. The degree of attenuation is usually determined empirically by exposing the natural host (S) to progressively greater passage levels of the virus.

The above procedure is fundamentally sound and has been successfully used for the development of numerous vaccines for human and veterinary use. However, it is relatively inefficient because the logarithmic phase of virus replication, during which mutations are most likely to occur, is often completed long before evidence of virus replication becomes visibly obvious.

Therefore, there is a decided need in the art for a vaccine that confers effective immunity against PRRSV strains, including recently discovered atypical PRRSV strains. There is also a need in the art for a method of making such a vaccine. Finally, what is needed is a method of passaging a virus that attenuates the virus more efficiently than was heretofore thought possible with the resulting attenuated virus eliciting PRRSV specific antibodies in swine thereby conferring effective immunity against subsequent infection by PRRSV.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention overcomes the problems outlined above, and provides attenuated, atypical PRRSV strains, and corresponding improved modified-live vaccines which confer effective immunity to newly discovered atypical PRRSV strains. "Effective immunity" refers to the ability of a vaccine to prevent swine PRRSV infections, including atypical PRRSV infections, which result in substantial clinical signs of the disease. That is to say, the immunized swine may or may not be serologically positive for PRRSV, but do not exhibit any substantial clinical symptoms. "Atypical PRRSV" refers to these new strains of PRRSV that are substantially more virulent than typical PRRSV strains.

In preferred forms, the vaccine of the invention includes live virus which has been attenuated in virulence. The resulting attenuated virus has been shown to be avirulent and to confer effective immunity. A particularly virulent strain of atypical PRRS (denominated JA-142) which caused especially severe symptoms of PRRS and represents the dominant strain of atypical PRRSV, was chosen for subsequent attenuation through passaging. The resultant attenuated virus has been deposited in the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Rockville, MD on February 2, 1999, and was accorded ATCC Accession No. VR-2638. This attenuated virus is a preferred Master Seed Virus (MSV) which has been subsequently passaged and developed as an effective PRRSV vaccine.

The name given the unattenuated virus, JA-142, arises from the restriction enzyme pattern. The 1 represents the inability of the enzyme MLU I to cleave the virus in open reading frame 5 (ORF 5). The 4 represents cleavage by Hinc II at base pair

positions 118 and 249 of ORF 5 and short contiguous sequences. The 2 represents cleavage by Sac II at base pair position 54 of ORF 5 and short contiguous sequences.

Additionally, the present invention provides another way to differentiate between field strains of PRRSV and strain JA-142. The method is based upon differences in RNA cleavage by a restriction enzyme, *NspI*. Briefly, isolated PRRSV RNA is subjected to digestion by *NspI*. Digestion of the attenuated strain, JA-142, results in at least one additional fragment in comparison to field strains of PRRSV. In preferred methods, the RNA is isolated and RT-PCR is performed on the isolated RNA. This RNA is then subject to electrophoresis and a 1 Kd product is identified and purified for digestion by *NspI*. This digestion results in three fragments for JA-142 and either one or two fragments for PRRSV field strains.

Passaging of the virus to attenuation was accomplished using a novel method which resulted in increased efficiency. Specifically, the virus was kept in the logarithmic phase of replication throughout multiple cell culture passages in order to materially shorten the time to attenuation. This is achieved by ensuring that in each cell culture there is a substantial excess of initially uninfected cells relative to the number of virus present. Thus, by transferring only small numbers of virus from passage-to-passage, logarithmic replication is assured.

In practice, the process is normally initiated by inoculation of several separate cell cultures with progressively smaller viral aliquots (i.e., lesser numbers of virus in each culture.) For example, starting cultures could contain 200 µl, 20 µl and 2 µl viral aliquots. After an initial short incubation period (e.g., ~24 hours), the same viral aliquots (in the example, 200 µl, 20 µl and 2 µl) from each cell culture are transferred to individual fresh (previously uninfected) cultures, while the starting cultures are monitored until cytopathic effect (CPE) is or is not observed. This process is continued in serial order for multiple passages, using the same viral aliquots in each case and preserving the cultures for CPE observation. If all of the serial culture passages exhibit CPE after a selected number of passages are complete, the larger viral aliquot series may be terminated (in the example 200 µl and 20 µl), whereupon another series of progressively smaller viral aliquots are employed (e.g., 2 µl, 0.2 µl and 0.02 µl) and the

process is again repeated, again keeping the cell cultures after transfer for CPE observation.

At some point in this successively smaller viral aliquot inoculation process, CPE will not be observed in a given cell culture. When this occurs, the next higher viral aliquot level showing CPE is substituted for the passage in which CPE was not observed, whereupon subsequent passages will be inoculated using previously employed viral aliquots.

Inasmuch as a virus will tend to become more efficient at infecting cells and also replicate to a higher infectivity titer for cell cultures over time, (which is especially true with RNA viruses such as PRRSV), it will be seen that smaller and smaller viral aliquots are required to maintain infection during serial transfer. The use of the smallest aliquot that maintains infection helps to assure that viral replication remains in a logarithmic phase throughout the process.

The DNA sequence of the attenuated passaged virus from the 201st passage was then determined using conventional methods. The sequence of this attenuated virus was designated as MSV JA-142 Passage No. 201, the sequence of which is given as SEQ ID No. 1. The sequence of the virulent virus, JA-142, is given as SEQ ID No. 2.

As used herein, the following definitions will apply: "Sequence Identity" as it is known in the art refers to a relationship between two or more polypeptide sequences or two or more polynucleotide sequences, namely a reference sequence and a given sequence to be compared with the reference sequence. Sequence identity is determined by comparing the given sequence to the reference sequence after the sequences have been optimally aligned to produce the highest degree of sequence similarity, as determined by the match between strings of such sequences. Upon such alignment, sequence identity is ascertained on a position-by-position basis, e.g., the sequences are "identical" at a particular position if at that position, the nucleotides or amino acid residues are identical. The total number of such position identities is then divided by the total number of nucleotides or residues in the reference sequence to give % sequence identity. Sequence identity can be readily calculated by known methods, including but not limited to, those described in Computational Molecular Biology, Lesk, A. N., ed., Oxford University Press, New York (1988), Biocomputing: Informatics and

Genome Projects, Smith, D.W., ed., Academic Press, New York (1993); Computer Analysis of Sequence Data, Part I, Griffin, A.M., and Griffin, H. G., eds., Humana Press, New Jersey (1994); Sequence Analysis in Molecular Biology, von Heinge, G., Academic Press (1987); Sequence Analysis Primer, Gribskov, M. and Devereux, J., eds., M. Stockton Press, New York (1991); and Carillo, H., and Lipman, D., SIAM J. Applied Math., 48: 1073 (1988), the teachings of which are incorporated herein by reference. Preferred methods to determine the sequence identity are designed to give the largest match between the sequences tested. Methods to determine sequence identity are codified in publicly available computer programs which determine sequence identity between given sequences. Examples of such programs include, but are not limited to, the GCG program package (Devereux, J., et al., Nucleic Acids Research, 12(1):387 (1984)), BLASTP, BLASTN and FASTA (Altschul, S. F. et al., J. Molec. Biol., 215:403-410 (1990). The BLASTX program is publicly available from NCBI and other sources (BLAST Manual, Altschul, S. et al., NCVI NLM NIH Bethesda, MD 20894, Altschul, S. F. et al., J. Molec. Biol., 215:403-410 (1990), the teachings of which are incorporated herein by reference). These programs optimally align sequences using default gap weights in order to produce the highest level of sequence identity between the given and reference sequences. As an illustration, by a polynucleotide having a nucleotide sequence having at least, for example, 95% "sequence identity" to a reference nucleotide sequence, it is intended that the nucleotide sequence of the given polynucleotide is identical to the reference sequence except that the given polynucleotide sequence may include up to 5 point mutations per each 100 nucleotides of the reference nucleotide sequence. In other words, in a polynucleotide having a nucleotide sequence having at least 95% identity relative to the reference nucleotide sequence, up to 5% of the nucleotides in the reference sequence may be deleted or substituted with another nucleotide, or a number of nucleotides up to 5% of the total nucleotides in the reference sequence may be inserted into the reference sequence. These mutations of the reference sequence may occur at the 5' or 3' terminal positions of the reference nucleotide sequence or anywhere between those terminal positions, interspersed either individually among nucleotides in the reference sequence or in one or more contiguous groups within the reference sequence. Analogously, by a polypeptide having a given

amino acid sequence having at least, for example, 95% sequence identity to a reference amino acid sequence, it is intended that the given amino acid sequence of the polypeptide is identical to the reference sequence except that the given polypeptide sequence may include up to 5 amino acid alterations per each 100 amino acids of the reference amino acid sequence. In other words, to obtain a given polypeptide sequence having at least 95% sequence identity with a reference amino acid sequence, up to 5% of the amino acid residues in the reference sequence may be deleted or substituted with another amino acid, or a number of amino acids up to 5% of the total number of amino acid residues in the reference sequence may be inserted into the reference sequence. These alterations of the reference sequence may occur at the amino or the carboxy terminal positions of the reference amino acid sequence or anywhere between those terminal positions, interspersed either individually among residues in the reference sequence or in the one or more contiguous groups within the reference sequence. Preferably, residue positions which are not identical differ by conservative amino acid substitutions. However, conservative substitutions are not included as a match when determining sequence identity.

Similarly, "sequence homology", as used herein, also refers to a method of determining the relatedness of two sequences. To determine sequence homology, two or more sequences are optimally aligned as described above, and gaps are introduced if necessary. However, in contrast to "sequence identity", conservative amino acid substitutions are counted as a match when determining sequence homology. In other words, to obtain a polypeptide or polynucleotide having 95% sequence homology with a reference sequence, 95% of the amino acid residues or nucleotides in the reference sequence must match or comprise a conservative substitution with another amino acid or nucleotide, or a number of amino acids or nucleotides up to 5% of the total amino acid residues or nucleotides, not including conservative substitutions, in the reference sequence may be inserted into the reference sequence.

A "conservative substitution" refers to the substitution of an amino acid residue or nucleotide with another amino acid residue or nucleotide having similar characteristics or properties including size, hydrophobicity, etc., such that the overall functionality does not change significantly.

Isolated" means altered "by the hand of man" from its natural state., i.e., if it occurs in nature, it has been changed or removed from its original environment, or both. For example, a polynucleotide or polypeptide naturally present in a living organism is not "isolated," but the same polynucleotide or polypeptide separated from the coexisting

5   materials of its natural state is "isolated", as the term is employed herein.

Preferably, sequences sharing at least about 75%, more preferably at least about 85%, still more preferably at least about 90% and most preferably at least about 95% sequence homology with SEQ ID No. 1 are effective as conferring immunity upon animals vaccinated with attenuated viruses containing such homologous sequences.

10   Alternatively, sequences sharing at least about 65%, more preferably at least about 75%, still more preferably at least about 85%, and most preferably at least about 95% sequence identity with SEQ ID No. 1 are also effective at conferring immunity upon animals vaccinated with attenuated viruses containing such identical sequences.

15   BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is a graph illustrating the ratio of samples which tested positive for antibodies against PRRSV to the total number of samples over a 196 day testing period; and

20   Fig. 2 is a graph illustrating the ratio of samples which tested positive for antibodies against PRRSV to the total number of samples over a 38 day testing period after challenge.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The following examples set forth preferred embodiments of the present

25   invention. It is to be understood, however, that these examples are provided by way of illustration and nothing therein should be taken as a limitation upon the overall scope of the invention.

30

Example 1

Materials and Methods

This example describes a passage method of attenuating viruses which maximizes attenuation efficiency by ensuring that the virus is preferably in a logarithmic phase of replication. Virus was passed (i.e. an aliquot of nutrient medium including the virus, unattached cells, and cell debris from a virus-infected cell culture was added to the nutrient medium of a noninfected culture) at daily intervals. Different amounts of virus were added at each interval by using multiple cultures. For example, at the beginning, 200 μl was transferred to one noninfected culture, 20 μl was added to a second noninfected culture, and 2 μl to a third noninfected culture. The goal was to have a sufficient amount of susceptible cells so that the replication cycles could continue until the next transfer. The procedure was deemed successful if the cells eventually showed CPE. However, because PRRSV-induced CPE do not appear until sometime after the logarithmic growth phase, passages were made before it was known whether or not they would be ultimately successful ("blind passages"). Passages that resulted in virus induced CPE were said to have resulted in a "take". If a passage did not result in a take, the passage was restarted using the highest dilution from the last passage which did result in a take. As more and more passages were made, the virus became more adapted to replicate in the cell line and less able to produce disease symptoms in its original host. These changes occur through random mutations that occur during replication.

Using this method, the following procedures were used to passage an exemplary virus in accordance with the present invention, MSV, JA-142. This strain was passaged in MARC-145 cell cultures at daily intervals. Twenty-four-well plates were used for the process to minimize the amount of cells and nutrient medium required, and to simplify the multiple-aliquot passage technique. Cells and nutrient medium were added to each well and the cells were allowed to form, or nearly form (greater than about 70%), a confluent monolayer. The nutrient medium comprised approximately 90% Earle's balanced salt solution minimal essential medium (MEM), 10% fetal calf serum and 0.05 mgm/ml of gentamicin sulfate. The volume of nutrient medium used was approximately 1 ml. Usually, three wells of a column were used for each amount of

virus that was transferred. An aliquot of nutrient medium from the previous passage was transferred to the first well in the column at 48 or 72 hours, after the cell cultures had been prepared, nutrient medium from the first well was transferred to the second well of the same column at 72 or 96 hours and the third well of the same column at 96 or 120 hours. Plates were usually set up twice a week so sometimes the fourth well of the column was used and sometimes it was not used. Passaging conditions were maintained at 37°C in a moist atmosphere containing 5% CO_2.

Different sized aliquots (having different amounts of virus) for each passage were tested to determine if the amount of virus was sufficient to induce CPE. For example, a separate series of aliquot transfers (passages) of 200 µl, 20 µl, and 2 µl, respectively, was used until the smaller aliquots consistently exhibited CPE with the goal being to transfer the smallest aliquot that produced CPE. When the smallest aliquot (e.g. 2 µl) of the group of aliquots being tested consistently resulted in CPE, smaller amounts were tested (e.g. 0.2 µl and 0.02 µl). When a certain dilution did not exhibit CPE, that series of cultures was restarted with the next lower amount which did result in CPE at that passage (i.e. if the 2 µl transfer was unsuccessful at producing CPE in the 25th passage but the 20 µl transfer in the 25th passage was successful, the 2 µl transfer was repeated using 20 µl with 2 µl transfers resuming for the 26th passage.)

Using this method, the smallest amount of virus necessary to transfer to obtain CPE was determined. Virus was passed successfully at daily intervals using the following amounts of virus-infected nutrient medium (which reflect the highest dilution [i.e., smallest aliquot] which resulted in CPE keeping in mind that other dilutions would also work):

	Passage Number	Amount Transferred
	3-21	200 μl
	22, 23	20 μl
5	24-41	200 μl
	42-83	20/200 μl (alternating)
	84-90	20 μl
	91-112	2 μl
	113	0.2 μl
10	114-116	2 μl
	117	0.2 μl
	118-120	2 μl
	121	0.2 μl
	122-124	2 μl
15	125-167	0.2 μl
	168	0.02 μl
	169-171	0.2 μl
	172	0.02 μl
	173-175	0.2 μl
20	176	0.02 μl
	177-179	0.2 μl
	180	0.02 μl
	181-183	0.2 μl
	184	0.02 μl
25	185-187	0.2 μl
	188	0.02 μl
	189-191	0.2 μl
	192	0.02 μl
	193-195	0.2 μl
30	196	0.02 μl
	197	0.2 μl

Results and Discussion

The passaging of the virus using the above method resulted in an attenuated PRRSV, JA-142. As is apparent, the virus became more adapted to replicate in the cell culture and therefore required a smaller amount of virus-infected nutrient medium to be transferred as passaging continued. For transfers using a very small amount of virus-infected nutrient medium (e.g. 0.2 µl or 0.02 µl), a separate dilution was required. This dilution was accomplished by adding a small amount of virus-infected nutrient medium to a larger amount of nutrient medium. For example, to obtain a transfer of 0.2 µl, 2 µl of virus infected nutrient medium was added to 20 µl of nutrient medium and 2 µl of this dilution was added to the next culture in the series. Using this approach, the highest dilution which resulted in CPE was used and the time necessary for passaging the virus was minimized. Passaging at daily intervals ensured that the virus was always in a logarithmic phase of replication. Daily transferring also ensured that there was an adequate number of cells for virus replication.

Because the mutations (which are probably cumulative) that are likely to result in attenuation only occur during replication, there is no advantage to having substantially all cells infected and replication either proceeding at a slower rate or stopping before the next transfer. Based on previous studies of PRRSV, it was known that the replication cycle is about 8 hours, therefore, transferring a minimal amount of virus from virus-infected nutrient medium to uninfected nutrient medium at daily intervals results in the virus always having plenty of cells within which to replicate.

As can be readily appreciated, passaging using this method results in a savings of time that was heretofore thought impossible (i.e. each passage required less time). This is especially important when a high number of passages are required for adequate virus attenuation. If each passage, using old methods, was performed at a 3 day interval, a procedure requiring 200 passages would take 400 fewer days using the method of the present invention.

Example 2

Materials and Methods

This example determined if passage 200 of PRRS Virus, JA-142, would revert in virulence when passed in the host animal six times. This study consisted of six
5 groups. Five pigs from group 1 (principle group) were inoculated intra-nasally with PRRS MSV, JA-142 passage 200, while three pigs from group 1A, (control group) were inoculated intra-nasally with sterile diluent. The animals were provided commercial feed and water *ad libitum* throughout the study. Pigs of both treatment groups were monitored daily for clinical signs (appearance, respiratory, feces, etc.). After six days,
10 the animals were weighed, bled and sacrificed. After scoring the lungs for lesions, lung lavages were collected from each animal. The lung lavages were frozen and thawed one time, and a pool was prepared using 2.0 ml of serum and 2.0 ml of lung lavage from each animal within a group to prepare Backpassage 1 and 1A, respectively. This pool was used to challenge (intra-nasally) the animals in group 2 and group 2A, respectively.
15 This process was repeated for groups 3 and 3A through 6 and 6A. Animals in each group were housed in separate but identical conditions.

Following inoculation, blood samples were collected and body temperatures were monitored. Rectal temperatures were measured for each animal periodically from -1 DPE (days post exposure) to 6 DPE and averaged together with other animal
20 temperatures from the same group. The health status of each animal was monitored daily for the duration of the study. Results were compiled and scored on a daily observation form. The scoring parameters are as follows:

1. Appearance
    normal = 0; depressed = 1; excited = 2; comatose/death = 30.
25

2. Respiration
    normal = 0; sneeze = 1; cough = 1; rapid/short = 2; labored = 3.

3. Feces
30 normal = 0; dry = 1; loose = 2; fluid = 3.

4. Eyes
    normal = 0; watery = 1; matted = 2; sunken = 3.

35 5. Nostrils

normal = 0; watery discharge = 1; red/inflamed = 2; crusted ulcers = 3.

6. Mouth
normal = 0; slobbers = 2; ulcer = 3.

7. Activity
NA

8. Appetite
normal = 0; decreased = 1; anorexic (none) = 3.

9. Other

Animals were also weighed prior to inoculation and at necropsy. Average weight gains for each group were calculated for comparison. PRRS Enzyme Linked Immuno-Absorbent Assays (ELISA) and serum neutralization (SN) assays were performed following the exposures of the animals with test and control articles. Attempts to isolate PRRSV from serum samples were performed on MA-104 cells. Prior to and following vaccination, total white blood cell counts were determined using COULTER COUNTER MODEL Z1, Coulter Corp., Miami, FL. At necropsy, the lungs of each animal were scored. Lung scoring was done by separating the lung into 7 sections and determining the percentage of lung involvement (the percentage of the lung area affected as shown by lesions or redness for each section and multiplying by the approximate area of the whole lung) that percentage of total lung area that the section encompasses. Parameters for lung scoring are as follows:

Left Apical Lobe % of involvement	X	0.10	=	__
Left Cardiac Lobe % of involvement		X	0.10	=	__
Left Diaphragmatic Lobe % of involvement		X	0.25	=	__
Right Apical Lobe % of involvement		X	0.10	=	__
Right Cardiac Lobe % of involvement		X	0.10	=	__
Right Diaphragmatic Lobe % of involvement		X	0.25	=	__
Intermediate Lobe of Right Lung % of involvement		X	0.10	=	__
Total (Sum of all values in the far right column)				=	__

Results and Discussion

Each group of pigs was monitored for six days following vaccination. Clinical scores were low in all groups. Clinical score results are given in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Daily Clinical Scores

Treatment	Group 1 Pig #	Day-1	Day 0	Day1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Average
JA-142 psg 200	545	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.25
	551	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	561	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	565	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	806	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0.05
Saline	550	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	568	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	801	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Treatment	Group 2 Pig #	Day-1	Day 0	Day1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Average
Backpassage 1	546	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	553	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	562	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0.125

Treatment	Pig #	Day-1	Day 0	Day1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Average
	572	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	573	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0.25
	Average	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.2	0	0	**0.075**
Backpassage 1	556	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	566	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	802	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Group 3 Treatment	Pig #	Day-1	Day 0	Day1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Average
Backpassage 2	548	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	567	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	569	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0.25
	574	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	804	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0	0	0	0	0.2	0.2	0	0	**0.05**
Backpassage 2A	547	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5564	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	805	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**

Treatment	Group 4 Pig #	Day-1	Day 0	Day1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Average
Backpassage 3	549	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	554	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	563	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	570	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	803	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Backpassage 3A	560	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	571	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	575	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Treatment	Group 5 Pig #	Day-1	Day 0	Day1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Average
Backpassage 4	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	1
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.75
	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.55

Backpassage 4A		Day-1	Day 0	Day1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Average
	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	7	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	1.5
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0	0.08	0.48	0.48	0.56	0.48	0.56	0.56	0.4

Treatment	Group 6 Pig #	Day-1	Day 0	Day1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Average
Backpassage 5	10	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0.5
	12	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0.75
	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	15	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
	16	2	2	2	0	0	1	1	2	1.25
	Average	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.2	1.6	0.7
Backpassage 5A	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	11	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5
	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Average	0.666667	0.56	0.16	0.08	0.16	0.04	0.04	0.32	0.253333

There were no significant differences between groups for rectal temperatures or daily weight gains. All lung scores were negative.

Serologically, ELISA S/P ratios and SN titers were negative throughout each group's trial period. Virus isolation was attempted on all serum samples and lung lavages. By day 6, 60-100% of the serum samples from the groups given JA-142, passage 200, and subsequent back passes were positive. The groups given saline were negative. In the first three passes, virus was recovered in the lung lavages from only 20-40% of the pigs, but by the last three passes, the virus was recovered from 50-80% of the pigs.

Based on this data, JA-142 passage 200 did not revert to virulence when passed through pigs six times.

Example 3

Materials and Methods

This example demonstrated that the level of attenuation of safety of MSV, JA-142, passage 200 did not change significantly during six backpassages in the host animal. Evaluation of level of attenuation or safety was performed using the pregnant sow model and monitoring the effect on reproductive performance. This model is the most sensitive test system and does not rely upon subjective factors for virulence testing. This example consisted of four groups (A, B, C & D) having seven sows per group. Group A was inoculated intra-nasally with PRRS MSV, JA-142 passage 200. Group B was inoculated intra-nasally with JA-142, passage 200, Backpassage 6. Group C was inoculated intra-nasally with sterile diluent, to act as normal controls. Group D was inoculated intra-nasally with PRRSV JA-142, passage 4. The test articles (challenge with JA-142, passage 4) were given at about 93 days gestation. Body temperatures of the sows were monitored for the first seven days following vaccination. Blood samples were collected from the sows once a week and at time of farrowing. Blood samples were collected and weights were recorded from piglets at birth, 7, and 14 days of age. The health status of each animal was monitored daily for the duration of the study up to and following farrowing for 14 days. The farrowing performance was evaluated by observing the health status of the piglets born.

PRRS ELISA assays were performed following the exposures of the sows with the test article. PRRS ELISA assays were also performed on the piglet sera weekly following farrowing. Following exposure to the test article, attempts to isolate PRRSV from serum samples were performed on MA-104 cells. Rectal temperatures were measured periodically from 0 days post vaccination (DPV) to 7 DPV and the average temperature of each group was determined. Prior to and after inoculation, total white blood cell counts were determined as in Example 1. Clinical observations of the sows, as in Example 2, were made from -1 DPV through farrowing. Clinical observations of the piglets were made from farrowing until 14 days of age. Finally, at necropsy, the lungs of each piglet were scored for percent lung involvement.

Results

The ELISA results indicate that the animals used in this study were naive to PRRSV. Those animals that received virus inocula, groups A, B, and D, sero-converted at 14 days post treatment. Three sows of group B remained negative at 14 days post treatment. At the time of farrowing, the negative sows of group B tested positive for antibody to PRRSV.

The pigs' ELISA results indicated that the majority of the piglets born to sows of group A and group B were sampled after they had nursed. Those pigs that were negative at zero days post farrowing (0 DPF) tested positive at 7 DPF. All pigs born to sows of group C tested sero-negative throughout the study. Only a few pigs were tested from group D, since the majority were either stillborn or mummies. Half of those pigs that were tested were sero-positive. This indicated that the sero-negative pigs were sampled prior to nursing or they were not capable of nursing. All piglets born to sows of group D died before 7 DPF. Isolations of PRRSV from the sows of groups A and B were sporadic. Although the results of the ELISA test indicated that these sows were successfully inoculated with the viral test articles, many remained negative for virus isolation from serum.

The majority of pigs born to sows from groups A and B tested positive for virus isolation during the performance of the study. The litter born to one sow of group A never tested positive and the litter born to one sow of group B had only two of eight

piglets test positive for virus isolation. No virus was recovered from the piglets born to sows from group C. Virus was recovered from the majority (71%) of piglets born from sows of group D.

Post treatment rectal temperatures were unremarkable. The groups that were treated with either MSV, backpassage 6 or sterile diluent experienced no measurements exceeding 101.7°F. Group D, treated with JA-142, passage 4, had four (out of seven) sows that experienced temperatures that exceeded 102°F with one sow reaching 103.4°F for one of the days. The weight gain performance of the piglets born to sows of groups A (treated with MSV) and B (treated with MSV, backpassage 6) was greater than that of the pigs born to the control sows of group C. The average weight gain for the 14 day observation period was 7.9 lbs. For group A, it was 7.7 lbs; for group B and group C it was 6.9 lbs. The difference in the weight gain was not related to the size of the litter remaining at 14 days. The average litter sizes at 14 days post farrowing (DPF) were 9 for group A, 7 for group B, and 10 for group C. No pig born to the sows of group D survived beyond 3 DPF.

The white blood cell (WBC) counts for the sows of groups A, B, and C remained relatively constant. The average percentages of the pre-challenge values were equal to or greater than 92% for the duration of the observation period. Three sows of group D experienced WBC counts that were lower than the expected normal range (7-20 x 10^6/ml).

The post inoculation clinical scores were unremarkable for the sows of groups A and B. Several sows of group C were observed to experience clinical signs over a period of several days. The majority of the clinical symptoms observed were in the category of decreased appetite, respiratory symptoms, and depression. One sow of group C died on trial day 31 of chronic bacterial pneumonia. Six of the seven sows of group D were observed to have clinical signs, primarily of varying degrees in severity, of lost appetite, ranging from decreased to anorexic. Results of the clinical scoring for the sows are given in Table 2.

TABLE 2
Sow Clinical Scores

Treatment	Sow#	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Group A JA-142 MSV Passage 200	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	133	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	178	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	215	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	233	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	243	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Avg.																

	Sow#	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Group A JA-142 MSV Passage 200	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	133	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	178	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	215	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	233	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	243	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Group A

Treatment	Sow#	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	Avg.
Avg.		0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Group A JA-142 MSV Passage 200	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	133	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	178	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	215	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	233	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	243	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Group B

Treatment	Sow#	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Avg.
Group B Backpassage6	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0.2
	209	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	212	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	226	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Treatment		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Group B	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Backpassage6	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	209	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	212	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	226	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44
Group B	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Backpassage6	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2
	209	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	212	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	226	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Treatment	Sow#	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

5

Day	Group C (58)	Sterile (113)	Diluent (117)	144	156	166	Avg.
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	1	0	0	0	0	0.2
24	0	3	0	0	0	0	0.5
25	0	3	0	0	0	0	0.5
26	0	5	0	0	0	0	0.8
27	0	3	1	0	0	0	0.7
28	0	3	1	0	0	0	0.7

10

Day	Group C (58)	Sterile (113)	Diluent (117)	144	156	166	Avg.
29	0	3	0	0	2	0	0.8
30	0	3	0	0	0	0	0.5
31	0	3	0	0	0	0	0.5
32	0	3	0	0	0	0	0.5
33	0	3	0	0	0	0	0.5
34	0	3	0	0	0	0	0.5
35	0	4	1	0	0	0	0.8
36	0	4	5	0	0	0	1.5
37	0	4	5	0	0	0	1.5
38	0	4	5	0	0	0	1.5
39	0	6	5	0	0	0	1.8
40	0	6	5	0	0	0	1.8
41	0	2	2	0	0	0	0.7
42	0	4	4	0	0	0	1.3
43	0	2	1	0	0	0	0.5
44	0	2	1	0	0	0	0.5

15

Day	Group C (58)	Sterile (113)	Diluent (117)
29	0	2	2
30	0	2	2
31	0	30	0

Sow#	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
144	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
156	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
166	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Avg.	0.7	0.7	0.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

Treatment	Sow#	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Group D JA-142 Pass 4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
	159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	1
	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
	206	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	232	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	234	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7

Treatment		13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Group D JA-142 Pass 4	2	1	1	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	159	1	1	1	1	3	4	2	3	3	3	2	2	0	2	0	0
	190	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	206	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38					
232	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
234	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Avg.	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0	0.3	0	0
	29	**30**	**31**	**32**	**33**	**34**	**35**	**36**	**37**	**38**					
Group D 2	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	3	1	1					
JA-142 106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Pass 4 159	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
190	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
206	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
232	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
234	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Avg.	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1					

5

10

Clinical observations of the piglets fell into two major categories, death and reduced appetite. There were no significant differences between groups A, B and C in the area of average deaths per litter (DPL). Group A had an average of 1.3 DPL, group B had an average of 2.4 DPL, group C had an average of 2.0 DPL, and no pigs from group D survived beyond three days post farrowing. Clinical scores for the piglets are given in Table 3.

TABLE 3

Treatment	Sow#	Pig#	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Group A	98	813	0	0	1	30										
JA-142		814	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass 200		815	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		816	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		817	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		818	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		819	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		820	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		821	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		822	1	30			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Avg.	0.3	3	0.2	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	133	720	30				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		721	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		722	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		723	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		724	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		725	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

798	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
799	30												
800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
807	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
809	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
810	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
812	0	0	0.1	0	0.1	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0
Avg.	4.6	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
823	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
824	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
825	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
845	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
846	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
847	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
848	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
849	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
850	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
976	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
977	0	1	1		3	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
978	30												

147

ID	n	group														
Avg.	178		0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	3.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	5
486			0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
487			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
488			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
489			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
490			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
491			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
492			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
493			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
494			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0.1	0
Avg.			0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	3.3
495	215	Group A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
496		JA-142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
497		Pass 200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
498			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
499			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
500			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
808			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Avg.			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
476	233		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

477	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
478	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
478	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
480	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
481	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
482	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
483	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
484	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
485	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
707	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
708	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
709	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
710	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
711	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
712	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
713	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	1	0	0	0
714	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
715	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
716	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

243

Treatment	Sow#	Pig#	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		717	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
		718	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
		719	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	2.3	0.2	0	0
Treatment	Sow#	Pig#	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Group B	49	430	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Backpassage 6		431	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		432	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		433	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		434	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		435	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		436	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		437	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		438	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Avg.	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	100	459	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		460	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		461	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
		462	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Label															Group
463	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
464	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	1	1	1	1	0	0	
465	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	
Avg.	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4	5.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	4.3	0	
439	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	135
440	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
441	0	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
442	30	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	
443	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
444	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
445	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
446	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
447	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Avg.	3.8	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	3.6	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	
231	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
232	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
233								30	0	0	0	0	0	0	
234	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
235	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
236	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Treatment: Group B, Backpassage 6
Sow#: 209

Pig#	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
237	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
238	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
239												30		0
240													30	30
241						30	3	3					30	3
242	0	0							2			0	0	0
Avg.	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	1	4.4	0.9	4.4	0.4	0	0	3	2.7	2.8
Pig#	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
448	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
449	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
450	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
451	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
452	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
453	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
454	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
455	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
456						2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
457	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
458														30
Avg.	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.5

	Sow#	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
212	243	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	244	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	245	0	0	0	0	3	1	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	246	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	247	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	248	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	249	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
	250	0	0	0	3	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	426	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	427	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	30	0	0	0	0	0	
	428	0	0	0	1	3	3	30	0	0	0	0	0	30	
	429	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	30	0
	Avg.	0	0	0	0.4	3.6	0.9	6.2	3.9	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.1	3.8	0
226	Not Preg.														

Treatment	Sow#	Pig# 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Group C	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sterile	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diluent	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13
48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	0	0	0	0	0	30	1	1	1	2	2	0	0
Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	3.8	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0	0

113		
17	30	
18	30	
19	30	
20	30	30
21	0	30
22	30	
23	30	30
Avg.	25.7	30

117		C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	C10	C11	C12	C13
	52	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	56	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0

57	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
61	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
62	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Avg.	0.5	0	0	0	2.7	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0
146	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
148	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
149	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
150	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
221	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1
222	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
223	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
224	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
225	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
970	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
971	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

-40-

Treatment	Sow#	Pig#	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Avg.	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
Group C	156	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sterile		64	0	0	1	0	30									
Diluent		65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0
		66	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		67	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	30	0	0	0	0	0
		68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		70	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
		71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		73	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Avg.	0	0	0.1	0	2.5	0.2	0.3	0.3	2.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0
	166	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Treatment	Sow #	Pig#	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		81	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		141	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		143	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		144	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		145	1	30												
		Avg.	0.2	2.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Group D																
JA-142	2	891	1	3	30											
Passage 4		892	1	30												
		Avg.	1	16.5	30											
	106	Aborted	NA													
	159	883	30													
		884	30													

	Avg.	30	
190	Aborted	NA	
206	890	30	
	Avg.	30	
232	888	30	
	889	30	
	Avg.	30	
234	Aborted	NA	

5

10

The farrowing performance results provided the most dramatic differences and similarities between the various treatment groups. Since the treatments would not have an effect on the size of the litters, the most appropriate way to compare the farrowing results would be by using percentage values. Group A had an average percentage of live/born of 85% (SD +/- 9.6). Group B had an average percentage of live/born of 89% (SD +/- 11.6). The control group (group C) had an average percentage of live/born of 83.4% (SD +/- 7.9). The average percentages for stillborns for groups A, B and C were 8.8 (SD +/- 9.66), 6.6 (SD +/- 9.7), and 14 (SD +/- 11.39), respectively. The average percentages of mummies born to sows of groups A, B, and C were 6.1 (SD +/- 6.01), 3.9 (SD +/- 4.45), and 2.6 (SD +/- 4.01), respectively. The average percentages of live/born, stillborn and mummies born to the sows of group D were 8.7 (SD +/- 8.92), 10.7 (SD +/- 11.39), and 81.9 (SD +/- 17.18), respectively.

The results of this example demonstrated the stability of the MSV, JA-142, passage 200 after being passed in the host animal six times. There were no significant differences between the group of sows treated with the MSV (group A) and those sows that were exposed to the Backpassage 6 virus (group B) in the categories of farrowing performance, leukopenia, rectal temperatures, and the clinical observations of either the sows or the piglets. In addition, the results in these same categories for the groups A and B were comparable to those achieved by group C that had been treated with sterile diluent. Finally, the performance of the sows that had been exposed to the virulent parent virus of MSV, JA-142, passage 4, clearly illustrated the level of attenuation of the MSV and the lack of reversion to virulence by the Backpassage 6, JA-142 virus.

Example 4

Materials and Methods

This example evaluated the safety and level of attenuation of administering a 10X concentration of MSV, JA-142, passage 201. The study was performed on the pregnant sow model and monitored the effect of this dosage on reproductive performance. The study consisted of three groups, A, C, and D. Group A was inoculated intra-nasally with PRRS MSV, JA-142, passage 200. Group C was inoculated intra-nasally with sterile diluent, to act as a normal control group. Group D

was inoculated intra-nasally with 10X JA-142, passage 201. All inoculations were given at about 93 days gestation. Body temperatures of the sows were monitored for the first seven days following inoculation (vaccination). Blood samples were collected from the sows once a week and at time of farrowing. Prior to and following inoculation, total white blood cell counts were determined as in Example 2. The health status of each animal was monitored daily for the duration of the study up to and following farrowing for 14 days. Clinical observations of the sows were made from -1 DPV through farrowing. The farrowing performance was evaluated by observing the health status of the piglets born. PRRSV ELISA assays were preformed following the exposures of the sows with the test article. Attempts to isolate PRRSV from serum samples were performed on MA-104 cells following exposure to the test article. Clinical observations of the piglets were made from farrowing until 14 days of age. Blood samples were collected from the piglets at birth, 7 and 14 days of age. PRRSV ELISA assays were performed on the piglet sera weekly following farrowing. Piglets were also weighed at birth, day 7 post farrowing, and at necropsy. At necropsy, the lungs of each piglet were scored for percent lung involvement.

Results and Discussion

There were no significant differences between groups given a 10X dose of MSV, JA-142, passage 201, groups given a regular dose of MSV, JA-142, passage 200, and groups given sterile diluent. Therefore, based on the safety and attenuation of MSV, JA-142, passage 200 and the lack of any significant difference in the results comparing these groups, a 10X dose of MSV, JA-142, passage 201 was shown to be safe, attenuated and effective in inducing antibodies against PRRSV.

Example 5

Materials and Methods

This example demonstrated that a minimal vaccine dose of PRRSV, JA-142, passage 205, representing MSV+5, is efficacious in an experimental respiratory challenge model in feeder pigs. Pigs were divided into three groups. Group 1 was inoculated intramuscularly with PRRS MSV, JA-142, passage 205 at a titer of 2.0

logs/dose. Group 2 was inoculated intramuscularly with sterile diluent. Group 3 acted as normal controls. Pigs from groups 1 and 2 were challenged with a PRRSV isolate with an RFLP pattern of 144 on day 28 post vaccination. Body temperatures of the pigs were monitored for the first seven days following vaccination and daily following challenge. Each animal was weighed at vaccination, challenge, weekly throughout the study, and necropsy. Blood samples were collected weekly following vaccination and every two days following challenge. The health status of each animal was monitored daily for the duration of the study. At necropsy, each animal was sacrificed and the lungs were scored for percent lung involvement as in Example 2. PRRSV ELISA assays were performed following the exposures of the pigs with the test articles and challenge. Following exposure to the test articles, attempts to isolate PRRSV from serum samples were performed on MA-104 cells. Virus isolation and ELISA results were analyzed using a Chi-square analysis which tests whether the percentage of positive animals is the same in each group. White blood cell counts were performed as in Example 2.

Results and Discussion

Pigs from group 1 (vaccinated pigs) fared better in all aspects of this example than did the pigs from group 2 (pigs given sterile diluent). Clinical scores, rectal temperatures, and percent lung involvement were all higher for the pigs given sterile diluent. Weight gain and white blood cell counts were lower for the pigs receiving the sterile diluent. There was also a significant reduction in viremia beginning on day 4 post-challenge in the group given vaccine. On days 10 and 11 post-challenge, the number of animals positive for viremia decreased further in the vaccinated group, but remained the same in the group receiving sterile diluent.

An ELISA was used to monitor anti-PRRSV serological status prior to and following vaccination and challenge. All pigs were negative (S/P ratio <0.4) at the time of vaccination. All pigs including the vaccinates were negative at 7 DPV (Days Post Vaccination). Seven days later, 21 of 22 vaccinated pigs were tested as positive for antibody to PRRSV. Two pigs of group 1 remained negative during the pre-challenge period and serological converted at 8 days post challenge (8 DPC). All of the pigs in

group 2 were negative at trial day 0 and remained negative throughout the pre-challenge period. On trial day 39 (8 DPC) 17 of the 22 non-vaccinated challenged pigs (Group 2) tested as sero positive. All of the pigs in group 3 (normal controls) remained sero-negative throughout the study.

5   Virus isolations from sera were performed before and after vaccination. Of the 22 vaccinated pigs, 17 were positive by 2 DPV, 18 were positive by 4 DPV and 19 were positive by 7 DPV. Following vaccination, vaccine virus was not recovered at all from one pig and not until 0 DPC for another. These results correspond to the sero-negative status of these pigs during the post vaccination observation period. At the time of

10   challenge, 55% of the vaccinated pigs were viremic positive. Following challenge, this percentage rose to 82% (at 2 DPC) and gradually decreased to 9% on 11 DPC. All pigs in group 2 were negative at 0 DPC and increased to 82% positive at 2 DPC and 91% at 4 DPC. On 6 and 10 DPC, group 2 was approximately 82% virus positive and 73% of this group was positive on 11 DPC. The normal controls, group 3, remained negative

15   for the duration of the study.

      Rectal temperature monitoring showed an overall group increase experienced by group 2. One-half of the pigs in this group experienced a rise of 1°F over the pre-challenge average for 2 or more days during the 11 day observation period. In comparison, only four of the 22 pigs in the vaccinated group experienced temperatures

20   of 1°F over their pre-challenge average. The average duration of those animals experiencing elevated temperatures for two or more days was 2.2 days for group 1 and 4 days for group 2. None of the pigs in group 3 experienced increases of 1°F over their pre-challenge average for two days or longer.

      Weight gain was monitored over the 11 day observation period. Pigs in group

25   3 gained an average of 1.06 pounds/day, pigs in group 2 gained an average of 0.94 pounds/day and pigs in group 1 gained an average of 0.53 pounds/day. Therefore, non-vaccinated challenged pigs gained only about 57% as much weight as did vaccinated challenged pigs and only 50% as much weight as the control group.

      Leukopenia (white blood cell counts) were monitored during the post challenge

30   observation period. Group 3 experienced a 5% reduction in the group average on trial day 33 (2 DPC) when compared to the pre-challenge average. For group 2, white blood

cell counts dropped an average of 41% and did not return to pre-challenge levels until 11 DPC. The vaccinated group experienced a group average drop of 12% on trial day 34 (3 DPC). The counts returned to pre-challenge level on the next day and remained equal to the pre-challenge level for the duration of the observation period.

Daily clinical observations were made from trial day 28 (-4 DPC) through trial day 42 (11 DPC). All pigs were free of any observable clinical signs during the pre-challenge period. Group 3 remained free of any clinical signs for the duration of the post challenge period. Five of the pigs in group 2 were observed to have post challenge clinical signs. These signs became evident at 6 DPC and were not considered to be severe. The vaccinated pigs had only 1 clinical sign observed during the 11 day post challenge observation period.

At the termination of the study, lungs were evaluated for observable lung lesions. Group 3 had normal lungs and a group average score of 0.02. The individual pig scores for group 2 ranged from a low of 33 to a high of 98 for a group average of 78.33. The scores of the vaccinated group ranged from 30 to a high of 90 with a group average of 53.20.

The data in this example demonstrated the efficacy of a modified live Atypical PRRS viral vaccine. The vaccine was administered at a minimal dose of 2.0 logs per dose containing the fifth passage beyond the MSV (JA-142, passage 205). Efficacy of the vaccine was demonstrated by significantly reducing the extent of lung lesions, the severity of post challenge leukopenia, and post challenge fever. Additionally, a normal growth rate was maintained in vaccinated/challenged pigs compared to that achieved by the normal control pigs and significantly better than that achieved by non-vaccinated/challenged pigs.

Example 6

Materials and Methods

This example compared four groups, groups 1, 2, and 3 having twenty pigs each, and group 4 having 10 pigs. Group 1 was inoculated intramuscularly (IM) with PRRS MSV, JA-142, passage 205, at a titer of about 2,5 logs/dose. Group 2 was inoculated intra-nasally with PRRS MSV, JA-142, passage 205, at a titer of about 5.0 logs/dose.

Group 3 was inoculated IM with sterile diluent. Group 4 acted as strict controls. Pigs were challenged with a PRRSV isolate from South Dakota State University (SDSU) with an RFLP pattern of 144 on day 28 post-vaccination. Body temperatures of the pigs were monitored daily following challenge. Each animal was weighed at vaccination, challenge, weekly for the duration of the study, and necropsy. Blood samples were collected weekly following vaccination and every two days following challenge. The health status of each animal was monitored daily for the duration of the study. At the termination of the study, animals were sacrificed and their lungs scored for percent lung involvement.

PPRSV ELISA assays were performed following the exposures of the pigs with the test articles and challenge. Attempts to isolate PRRSV from serum samples were also performed on MA-104 cells following exposure to the test articles. WBC counts and clinical observations were determined post inoculation as in Example 2.

Results and Discussion

At zero days post vaccination (DPV), all pigs in this example were serologically negative to PRRSV as indicated by having a S/P ratio < 0.4. At 14 DPV, 70% of the pigs in group 1 and 95% of the pigs in group 2 tested positive for the presence of anti-PRRSV antibody. Only one vaccinated pig of group 1, remained sero-negative throughout the pre-challenge period. This pig became sero-positive at seven days post challenge (DPC). All of the pigs in groups 3 and 4 remained negative throughout the pre-challenge period. At nine DPC, all of the pigs in group 3, the sterile diluent treated group, tested positive by ELISA for PRRSV antibody. The normal controls, group 4, remained negative for the duration of the study.

The virus isolation results correlated well with serological results. Only one pig remained negative for virus isolation from serum and this corresponded to the sero-negative status during the post vaccination period. These results indicate a relationship between post vaccination viremia and serological conversion with vaccine dosage. Group 2 was 100% sero-positive at 14 DPV as compared to 70% for group 1. The high dose group (group 2) was 85% and 90% viremia positive at 14 and 21 DPV,

respectively. In comparison, the low dose group (group 1) was 55% and 85 % positive for the same test days.

Following challenge, 89% of the animals in group 3 experienced temperatures that were one degree F or greater than the pre-challenge values for two or more days. In group 1, 75% of the animals experienced temperatures of one degree or greater for two or more days. While only 45% of the animals of group 2 experienced elevated temperatures. In comparison, 30% of the animals in the normal control group (group 4) experienced elevated temperatures for two or more days during the 11 day observation period.

Treatment with either the high vaccine dose or the low vaccine dose appeared to have no detrimental effect on the growth performance during the post-vaccination period (-3 DPV to 28 DPV). The average daily weight gain for groups 1 and 2 was 0.77 lbs./day and 0.76 lbs./day, respectively. For comparison, groups 3 and 4 had average daily weight gains of 0.77 lbs. and 0.78 lbs., respectively. Following challenge, the vaccinated groups outperformed the sterile diluent group by 0.05 lbs./day (group 1) and 0.15 lbs./day (group 2). The normal controls outgained the vaccinates during the same time period by an average of 0.4 to 0.5 lbs./day.

Eighty-four percent (16 of 19) of group 3, the sterile diluent treatment group, experienced a 25% or greater drop in their WBC count for one or more days after challenge. The normal controls had 3 of 10 (30%) that had experienced similar decreases. Following challenge, the vaccinated groups, the low dose (group1)and the high dose (group2) had 11 of 20 (55%) and 3 of 20 (15%) experiencing leukopenia of 25% for one or more days.

The clinical observations made prior to the challenge indicated that the pigs were of good health status. Following challenge, the level of health status did not significantly change for those pigs that were challenged (groups 1, 2, & 3). Lethargy, respiratory signs, and lost appetite were the clinical signs observed and these were described as mild in severity. The clinical signs reported for one pig in group 2 could be attributed to the bacterial pneumonia (see discussion below on lung lesions) that it was experiencing. The normal control group (group 4) was free of any observable clinical signs during the 11 day observation period.

At the termination of the study, pigs were sacrificed and the lungs were observed for PRRS-like lesions to score the extent of lung involvement. The percent of involvement was scored for each lobe then multiplied by the percent the lung represented for the total lung capacity. For example, 50% lung involvement for a diaphragmatic lobe was then multiplied by 25% to equal 12.5% of the total lung capacity. The maximum score that could be obtained was 100. The group average lung score for the normal controls (group 4) was zero. The group average score for the sterile diluent treatment group (group 3) was 70.08. The vaccinated treatment groups average scores were 48.83 for the low dose (group 1) and 17.76 for the high dose (group 2). One pig was observed to have a lung score of 62.5, the highest score within group 2. The lesions noted on this pig's lungs were described to be associated with bacterial pneumonia.

From the results of this study, both dosage levels of the atypical PRRS MSV vaccine reduced the severity of the clinical signs associated with the respiratory disease caused by the PRRSV. A full field dose outperformed the minimal dose as indicated by the significant reduction in lung lesion scores.

Example 7

Materials and Methods

This example determined the sequence of the attenuated MSV, JA-142 from the 201st passage as well as the sequence of passage 3 of the field isolate virus, JA-142. The attenuated virus isolate was obtained from the master seed stock representing the 201st passage in MA-104 simian cells of a PRRSV isolated from swine affected with PRRS.

The virus was grown on 2621 cells, a monkey kidney cell line, also referred to as MA-104 and as USU-104 (Gravell et al., 181 Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 112-119 (1986), Collins et al., Isolation of Swine Infertility and Respiratory Syndrome Virus (Isolate ATCC VR-2332) in North America and Experimental Reproduction of the Disease in Gnotobiotic Pigs, 4 J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 117-126 (1992)) (the teachings of which are hereby incorporated by reference). Cells were cultured in 50 ml Dulbecco modified Eagle's MEM medium (Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD),

supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 50 µg/ml gentamicin (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) in a 5% humidified CO_2 atmosphere at 37°C in 75 cm^2 plastic tissue culture flasks. Cells were maintained by passage at 5-7 day intervals. Cells were dislodged from the surface with trypsin-versene and split 1:4. To infect cells, media

5   was decanted and 1 ml of cell supernatant containing virus at a titer of approximately 10^5-10^6 tissue culture infective doses ($TCID_{50}$) was added for 30 min. Thirty ml fresh media containing 4% fetal calf serum was added. Cells were incubated as described above for 5 days, at which time cytopathic effect was evident in the culture. Culture medium containing virus was centrifuged at 2000 rpm in a Beckman TJ6 centrifuge to

10   pellet cellular debris.

Viral genomic RNA was purified by adding 1120 µl of prepared Buffer AVL (QIAamp Viral RNA Isolation Kit, Qiagen)(QIAGEN, Inc. Valencia, CA)/carrier RNA to a 280 µl sample of virus-containing culture medium. The mixture was vortexed and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. 1120 µl ethanol was added and the mixture

15   was inverted several times. RNA was absorbed to the matrix of a QIAamp spin column by repeated centrifugation of 630 µl aliquots at 6,000 X g for 1 min. The column was washed with 500 µl buffer AW and centrifuged to remove all traces of wash solution. RNA was eluted from the column with 60 µl of diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water at room temperature. Purified RNA was stored at -70°C or used immediately for synthesis

20   of cDNA.

For cDNA synthesis, viral RNA was heated at 67°C for 7 min, primed with random hexamers or PRRSV-specific primers, and reverse transcribed with Superscript II RNase H⁻ reverse transcriptase (RT) (Life Technologies, Inc.). Reactions contained 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 X standard buffer II (Perkin Elmer Corp. Wellesley, MA), 1 mM each

25   of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, 1 unit/µl of RNase inhibitor, 2 units of RT, and 1 µl of RNA in a 40 µl reaction. Reaction mixtures were incubated for 15 min at 42°C, for 5 min at 99°C and for 5 min at 5°C.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed to obtained DNA fragments for sequencing as follows: 10 µl portions of cDNA reaction mixture were combined

30   with the following reagents, resulting in a 25 µl reaction containing 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 X standard buffer II (Perkin Elmer), 0.2 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, 0.3

μM of 5'- and 3'-PRRSV-specific primer, and 0.375 units AmpliTaq Taq polymerase (Perkin Elmer). Reactions were prepared by heating for 4 min at 93°C in a thermal cycler, then 35 cycles consisting of 50-59°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30-60 sec, and 94°C for 30 sec. Specific times and temperatures varied depending on the annealing temperatures of the primers in each reaction and the predicted length of the amplification product. A final incubation was performed for 10 min at 72°C and reactions were placed at 4°C. PCR products were purified with a Microcon 100 kit (Amicon, Bedford, MA).

Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) PCR was performed to obtain the extreme 5'-end sequence of the genomic RNA, based on the method of Frohman, MA.,On Beyond Classic RACE (Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends), 4 PCR Methods and Applications S40-S58 (1994) (the teachings of which are hereby incorporated by reference). Viral RNA was isolated and converted to cDNA as described above, with random hexamers as primers. Reaction products were purified on a Microcon 100 column (Amicon). A poly(dA) tail was added to the 3'-end by incubating 10 μl of cDNA in a 20 μl volume containing 1X buffer 4 (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), 2.5 mM $CoCl_2$, 0.5 mM dATP and 2 units terminal transferase (New England Biolabs), for 15 min at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by heating for 5 min at 65°C and then was diluted to 200 μl with water.

PCR was performed using the Expand[a] Long Template PCR System (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) in a 50 μl reaction volume containing 10 μl of diluted, poly(dA)-tailed cDNA, 1X buffer 3, 0.35 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, 0.625 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.04 μM Q_t primer (Frohman, 1994), 0.3 μM Q_o primer (Frohman, 1994), 0.3 μM 5'-CGCCCTAATTGAATAGGTGAC-3' and 0.75 μl of enzyme mix. Reactions were heated at 93°C for 2 min in a thermal cycler and cycled 25 times with each cycle consisting of 93°C for 10 sec, 63°C for 30 sec, and 68°C for 12 min. After 25 cycles, the reaction was incubated at 68°C for 7 min and held at 4°C. An aliquot of the reaction was diluted 100-fold and 5 μl of diluted product was added to a second PCR reaction containing, in 50 μl, 1X buffer 1, 0.35 mM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, 0.3 μM primer Qi (Frohman, 1994), 0.3 μM 5'-CCTTCGGCAGGCGGGGAGTAGTGTTTGAGGTGCTCAGC-3', and 0.75 μl

enzyme mix. Reactions were heated at 93°C for 2 min in a thermal cycler and cycled 25 times with each cycle consisting of 93°C for 10 sec, 63°C for 30 sec, and 68°C for 4 min. After 25 cycles, the reaction was incubated at 68°C for 7 min and held at 4°C. Reaction products were electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel and the band of

5   approximately 1500 bp was purified using the QIAgen QXII gel purification kit. Eluted DNA was cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega, Madison, WI) using standard procedures. Individual clones were isolated and grown for isolation of plasmid DNA using QIAgen plasmid isolation kits.

PCR products and plasmid DNA were combined with appropriate primers based

10   on related PRRSV sequences in Genbank or derived from known sequences, and subjected to automated sequencing reactions with Taq DyeDeoxy terminator cycle sequencing kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and a PR 2400 Thermocycler (Perkin Elmer) at the University of Minnesota Advanced Genetic Analysis Center. Reactions were electrophoresed on an Applied Biosystems 3700 DNA sequencer.

15   Sequence base calling and proofreading were performed primarily with the Phred program (University of Washington Genome Center) and fragment assembly was performed primarily with the Phrap program (University of Washington Genome Center). Additional computer software including the Lasergene Package (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI), Wisconsin package version 9.1 (Genetics Computer Group,

20   Madison, WI), and EuGene (Molecular Biology Information Resource, Houston, TX) was used to analyze the sequence. The final viral genomic sequence was assembled from approximately 100 PCR reactions and 428 DNA sequencing reactions.

Results

25   The results of Example 7 are given as SEQ ID Nos. 1 and 2 wherein SEQ ID No. 1 represents the DNA sequence of the 201st passage of the Master Seed Virus, JA 142 and SEQ ID No. 2 represents the DNA sequence of the field-isolated virulent virus, JA 142 after three passages. Additionally, RNA sequences of the 201st passage JA-142 and the field isolated virulent virus, JA-142 are provided as SEQ ID Nos. 3 and 4,

30   respectively. These RNA sequences vary slightly from the DNA sequences at the 5' end of the genome.

Example 8

Materials and Methods

This example demonstrated the presence or absence of a *NspI* restriction endonuclease site for differentiation between field strains of PRRSV and an attenuated strain of PRRSV. Thus, this example provides a diagnostic testing method using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis. RFLP is useful as a diagnostic tool because the *NspI* site is present in most field strains of PRRSV. Samples, preferably of serum, should be gathered from a suspected infected individual for RT-PCR/RFLP based diagnostic testing. In this case, known virulent field strains were used for testing to provide known result standards for later diagnostic testing. While Qiagen products and specific method steps are disclosed, it is understood that other methods and products known in the art can be utilized.

For performance of the diagnostic test (and to obtain the standards disclosed below) viral genomic RNA was isolated using a QIAamp Viral RNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen, Inc. Valencia, CA) and following the mini spin protocol. The following steps were used:

1. Carrier RNA was added to Buffer AVL and placed at 80°C for five minutes or until dissolution of the precipitate to form solution 1. Do not heat Buffer AVL over 5 minutes or more than 6 times. Frequent warming/extended incubation will cause degradation of carrier-RNA, leading to reduced recovery of Viral RNA and eventually false negative RT-PCR results.

2. 1120 µl of solution 1 was pipetted into a microfuge tube.

3. 280 µl of serum sample was added to the microfuge tube holding solution 1 and the resulting mixture was vortexed thoroughly to ensure that solution 1 and the sample were well mixed together. This is done to lyse the sample under highly denaturing conditions, inactivate RNases, and ensure isolation of intact viral RNA. Carrier-RNA improves binding of viral RNA to the QIAamp membrane, and limits possible degradation of the viral RNA due to any residual RNase activity.

4. This mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. Viral particle lysis is substantially complete after lysis for 10 minutes at room temperature, although longer times may be used with little or no effect on the yield or quality of the purified RNA.

5. 1120 µl of ethanol (EtOH) (96-100%) was added to the incubated mixture and mixed thoroughly by inverting the tube several times.

6. A QIAamp spin column was placed in a 2ml collection tube and 630 µl of the mixture obtained in step five was added. This mixture was then centrifuged at 6000 X g for one minute.

7. The filtrate in the collection tube was discarded.

8. The QIAamp spin column was placed into a clean 2ml collection tube and another 630 µl of the mixture obtained in step five was added to the spin column and centrifuged at 6000 X g.

9. The filtrate in the collection tube was discarded.

10. The QIAamp spin column was placed into a clean 2ml collection tube and another 630 µl of the mixture obtained in step five was added to the spin column and centrifuged at 6000 X g.

11. 500 µl of Buffer AW1 was added to the spin column and centrifuged at 6000 X g for one minute.

12. The tube containing the filtrate was discarded.

13. The spin column was placed into a clean 2ml collection tube and 500 µl of Buffer AW2 was added and centrifuged at 18,500 X g for three minutes. The filtrate was discarded.

14. The spin column was placed into a new 2ml collection tube and centrifuged at 6000 X g for one minute to remove the last traces of AW2. The filtrate was discarded.

15. The spin column was placed into a clean 1.5ml microcentrifuge tube and 60 µl of Buffer AVE at room temperature. This mixture was incubated for one minute at room temperature before being centrifuged at 6000 X g for one minute to elute the RNA.

16.   The eluted RNA was pipetted into a 1.5ml microfuge tube and stored at -70°C if the RT-PCR is not able to be done immediately.

RT-PCR was performed on the eluted RNA obtained in the above method. A 20 µl "master mix" containing the following: 5 µl of 1x RT-PCR buffer, 1 µl of 0.4 mM DNTP mixture (containing equal amounts each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dUTP), 0.1 µl of 0.08 units/Rx RNAse inhibitor, 0.5 µl 500nM BVDV forward primer, 0.5 µl 500 nM BVDV reverse primer, 11.9 µl RNAse/DNAse free water, and 1 µl Qiagen "secret" enzyme mix was added to a tube. 5 µl of the eluted RNA was then added to the tube.

Reactions were initially heated at 50°C for 30 minutes followed by heating at 95°C for 15 minutes in a thermal cycler and then cycled 35 times with each cycle consisting of 57°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 45 seconds, and 94°C for 45 seconds. After 35 cycles, the reaction was incubated at 57°C for 30 seconds followed by 72°C for 7 minutes and finally held at 4°C. To check the PCR on an agarose gel, 1g of agarose was added to 100 ml of 1x TAE buffer before microwaving on high for two minutes. Next, 4µl of 10 mg/ml EtBr was added to the heated gel before casting the gel and allowing it to solidify for 15-30 minutes. 4 µl of the PCR product was mixed with 1 µl loading dye. 3.5 µl of a 1 Kb ladder was added to 13.2 µl of water and 3.3 µl of loading dye for use as a marker. 4 µl of the marker mixture was electrophoresed on the gel, indicating a 1 Kb product. A band from the PCR product should be approximately 1 Kb in size. The gel was then run at 140 volts for 1 hour or 75 volts for two hours.

The band of approximately 1 Kb was purified using the QIAgen Qiaquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Inc. Valencia, CA). A column was placed in a collection tube and 20 µl PCR reaction sample and 100 µl PB buffer were added. This mixture was mixed thoroughly before spinning for 1 minute at full speed in an Eppendorf microfuge. The flow-through products were discarded and the column was replaced in the tube. The tube was spun for another full minute and allowed to stand for at least one minute at room temperature. The column was then spun a third time at full speed. The eluent remaining contains purified PCR product and water.

The PCR/water product from above was then digested with *Nsp I*, a restriction enzyme and then electrophoresed on a 1.5% agarose gel to determine fragment numbers and lengths.

5   *Results*

The results of Example 8 are used for diagnostic results. It was found that most of the field strains for the PRRS virus contain one *Nsp I* restriction site, therefore yielding digestion products of 549 and 476 bp from the 1 Kb RT-PCR product. The parent strain of the JA-142 passage 200 possesses this phenotype. Only one PRRS

10   strain, BI-Vetmedica 142 passage 200 (+5), contains two *Nsp I* sites, yielding digestion products of 476, 380, and 173 bp from the 1 Kb RT-PCR product. Some field strains possess no Nsp I site within this RT-PCR product, and therefore exhibit no digestion and electrophoresis of one fragment of 1021 bp. Thus, the presence of the attenuated virus can be determined.

15

EXAMPLE 9

Materials and Methods:

This Example tested the degree of protective immunity against maternal reproductive failure of swine vaccinated by one or two attenuated strains of PRRSV.

20   Fifty gilts were separated into five experimental groups designated A-E and having ten gilts in each group. Gilts of group A were neither vaccinated nor challenged and were therefore used as strict controls. Gilts of group B were used as the challenge controls and therefore received no vaccinations but were challenged at or about day 90 of gestation. Gilts of groups C, D, and E were each vaccinated twice before conception

25   with one month between vaccinations. These gilts were then challenged at or about day 90 of gestation. Two strains of vaccine virus (strains RespPRRS/Repro and JA-142) were used to challenge the gilts. The challenge consisted of oronasal exposure to virulent PRRSV. Gilts of group C were vaccinated twice with strain RespPRRS/Repro. Gilts of group D were vaccinated first with RespPRRS/Repro and then with JA-142.

30   Gilts of group E were vaccinated twice with strain JA-142. Gilts and their progeny were observed at least twice daily for clinical signs and tested for both PRRSV and

homologous antibody at selected intervals. The gilts of groups C, D, and E were bled just before their first vaccination and at selected times thereafter until they were necropsied, usually at or about 14 days after farrowing or sooner if they aborted. Gilts of group A and B were bled just before challenge and at identical selected times

5   thereafter. Beginning one month after the second vaccination of groups C, D, and E, all gilts were bred as they came into estrus. All of the boars used for breeding purposes were free of antibody against PRRSV. Near the time of challenge, each gilt was moved to an isolation room and was kept in isolation until the experiment was ended for that gilt and her litter at two weeks after farrowing or sooner in the case of abortion or

10   premature death of all progeny. All surviving pigs were weighed when they were two weeks old. Gilts that failed to conceive at their first, second, or third estrocycle were excluded from the experiment. This reduced the numbers of pregnant gilts for groups B, C, D, and E to 9, 8, 9, and 9, respectively. The same limitation did not apply to group A because for this group, there were more than ten nonvaccinated gilts available

15   from which to make a random selection for inclusion in group A.

Results and Discussion:

All vaccinated gilts (groups C, D, and E) responded to vaccination with the production of antibodies against PRRSV. These results are provided in Fig. 1 which is a graph representing the ratio of the total number of samples to samples positive for

20   PRRSV antibodies. Blood samples were collected from the gilts just before their first vaccination and at selected times thereafter during an interval of 196 days. Depending on when gilts conceived (breeding was started on day 60), they were progressively removed from this group. Beginning at or about 90 days of gestation, blood samples were collected just before they were challenged, seven days after challenge, fourteen

25   days after challenge, at the time of delivery (which was at or about 24 days after challenge if the gilt farrowed normally, or sooner if the gilt aborted), and at the time of necropsy (which was at or about 38 days, i.e. 2 weeks after farrowing, or sooner if the gilt lost all of her live born pigs before 2 weeks after farrowing). These results are provided in Fig. 2.

30   As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, antibody levels increased after challenge for groups B, C, D, and E. For group B, the nonvaccinated group, these antibodies appeared only

after challenge while they were present prior to challenge for groups C, D, and E. Gilts of group A and all boars used for breeding both vaccinated and nonvaccinated gilts remained free of antibody against PRRSV throughout the experiment. None of the vaccinated gilts had any obvious vaccine-related clinical signs after vaccination. Conversely, all of the gilts (both vaccinated and nonvaccinated) had moderate to severe clinical signs following challenge. A summary of the number of live born and still born pigs, the number of aborted, late term dead, and mummified fetuses, and the number and weight of pigs still alive 14 days after farrowing is presented in Table 4. All of the pigs of groups C, D, and E that survived through day 14 were robust and were judged to be in excellent health. None of these pigs yielded infectious virus from either serum or lung lavage samples. In contrast, all pigs of group B that survived through day 14 were unthrifty and were shown by virus isolation to be infected. A measure of the difference in general health is provided by the relative body weights of pigs of group B versus those of pigs of groups A, C, D, and E. The appearance of pigs of group B suggested that few, if any, would have recovered or would have recovered sufficiently to warrant any expectation of their continued survival under conditions of commercial swine production.

Table 4.   Effect of Vaccination Against Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus (PRRSV) on the Health and Survival of Fetuses and Pigs of Gilts Subsequently Exposed to Highly Virulent PRRSV

Group	Gilts[3]	Day 0[1]					Day 14[2]		
		Liveborn pigs	Stillborn pigs	Late-term dead fetuses	Mummified fetuses	Aborted fetuses	Live pigs	Mean pig weight (lbs)	Mean litter weight (lbs)
A	10	102	17	1	2	0	95	9.8	93.1
B	9	24	3	62	5	0	16	5.6	10.0
C	8	37	8	31	4	13	27	11.1	37.5
D	9	47	10	14	0	39	38	8.7	36.7
E	9	50	13	38	3	0	33	10.4	38.1

[1] At the time of farrowing.

[2] On the day the experiment was ended.

[3] Pregnant gilts that aborted or farrowed.

Vaccination with either strain (RespPRRS/Repro and JA-142) of attenuated PRRSV provided a level of protective immunity that was demonstrated by challenge exposure. Although protection was incomplete regardless of the vaccine strain or method of vaccination, it was sufficient to recommend vaccination as an economically

5 beneficial procedure. Whereas the loss of pigs of group B was essentially complete either due to death or ill health, about 40% of the pigs of litters of groups C, D, and E (on a per litter basis and using 100% as the value for litters of group A) would have survived to market. The excellent health status of the surviving pigs of groups C, D, and E is emphasized by the fact that the mean body weight of pigs of these groups

10 (when calculated collectively) is the same as that of pigs of group A. The economic impact of saving about 3.6 pigs/litter through vaccination is difficult to project with certainty, however, if a reasonable assumption is made that each pig is worth about $20.00 in profit and reduced overhead through sharing of fixed costs, then two vaccinations at an estimated cost of about $1.00 each would return $72.00 for each

15 $2.00 invested. On the basis of these assumptions, anything more than a prevalence of PRRSV-induced reproductive failure of one case for every 36 pregnancies (or a severe clinical epidemic once every 18 months assuming 2 pregnancies/year) would make vaccination cost effective. Moreover, it seems likely that the results of this study present the worst case scenario. Namely, the strain used for challenge was selected to

20 represent the most virulent field strains of PRRSV currently present in North America and may not accurately reflect the majority of field strains against which vaccines are likely to be more protective.